Lecture 2. Introducing Investment to the Basic Model

Applied International Economics.

Gabriel Marin Anahuac University, Spring 2024.





- 1. The Model
 - Production
 - Budget constraints
 - Households
 - Reduced form
 - Examples



The Model

In this model



Objectives

- Households are able to smooth consumption over time
- The trade balance acts as a shock absorber

Assumptions

- Endowment economy
- Two periods
- Single tradable good
- Perfect capital mobility households can borrow/lend at the international capital markets with price r

Output is given by:

$$y_1 = A_1 f(k_1), \tag{1}$$

$$y_2 = A_2 f(k_2), \tag{2}$$

with A_t , t = 1, 2 is the total factor productivity and $f(\cdot)$ is assumed to be strictly increasing and concave ($f'(\cdot) > 0, f''(\cdot) < 0$). We assume that k_1 is given, such that households choose k_2 in the first period. Moreover, there is no depreciation of capital between periods. Formally,

$$l_1 = k_2 - (1 - \delta)k_1, \tag{3}$$

where I_1 is investment in period 1 and $\delta = 0$.

Budget constraints

For the two periods we have

$$b_1 = y_1 - c_1 - l_1, \tag{4}$$

$$0 = (1+r)b_1 + y_2 - c_2, (5)$$

Combining the budget constraints yields the intertemporal budget constraint (IBC)

$$y_1 + \frac{y_2}{1+r} = c_1 + l_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r}$$
(6)

Let us define the trade balance in each period as:

$$TB_1 \equiv y_1 - c_1 - l_1, \tag{7}$$

$$TB_2 \equiv y_2 - c_2. \tag{8}$$

Again, using Equation (6) it follows that

$$TB_1 + \frac{TB_2}{1+r} = 0.$$
 (9)



Budget constraints (continued)

Now, the current account for each period is the following:

$$CA_1 \equiv y_1 - c_1 - l_1 \tag{10}$$

$$CA_2 \equiv rb_1 + y_2 - c_2 \tag{11}$$

Since savings are income minus consumption, it follows that

$$CA_1 = S_1 - I_1$$
 (12)

$$CA_2 = S_2 \tag{13}$$

Contrary to our previous model, now the current account in period 1 becomes the difference between savings and investment!



Households



The households' utility function has the following form:

$$W = u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2), \tag{14}$$

In this model, households choose c_1 , c_2 , and k_2 to maximize Equation (14) subject to Equation (6). The Lagrangian is as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = u(c_1) + \beta u(c_2) - \lambda \left(c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r} + (k_2 - k_1) - A_1 f(k_1) - \frac{A_2 f(k_2)}{1+r} \right), \quad (15)$$

The first-order conditions are:

$$u'(c_1) = \lambda, \tag{16}$$

$$\beta u'(c_2) = \frac{\lambda}{1+r},\tag{17}$$

$$A_2 f'(k_2) = 1 + r. (18)$$

Equation (18) shows that at the optimum, the marginal productivity of capital must equate its marginal cost.



If we combine both budget constraints we obtain our typical Euler equation

$$u'(c_1) = \beta(1+r)u'(c_2).$$
(19)

Suppose we have $\beta(1 + r) = 1$, it follows from this that:

$$c_1 = c_2 = \overline{c} \tag{20}$$

Again, consumption is **fully smoothed** over time *regardless of the output/investment path*.

Reduced-form solutions

Let us give the following form to the production functions:

$$f(k_1) = k_1^{\alpha}, \tag{21}$$

$$f(k_2) = k_2^{\alpha}, \tag{22}$$

with $0 < \alpha < 1$. First-order condition (18) becomes:

$$A_2 \alpha k_2^{\alpha - 1} = 1 + r, \tag{23}$$

Solve for k_2 :

$$k_2 = \left(\frac{\alpha A_2}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}.$$
(24)

Therefore, investment is increasing on A_2 and decreasing on r.



Reduced-form solutions (continued)

Substitute Equation (24) in Equation (2) to obtain:

$$y_2 = A_2 \left(\frac{\alpha A_2}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}.$$
(25)

Now solve for \overline{c} (Equation (6)):

$$\overline{c} = \frac{1+r}{2+r} \left[A_1 f(k_1) + \frac{A_2 f(k_2)}{1+r} - (k_2 - k_1) \right].$$
(26)

Since $S_1 = y_1 - \overline{c}$,

$$S_1 = A_1 f(k_1) - \frac{1+r}{2+r} \left[A_1 f(k_1) + \frac{A_2 f(k_2)}{1+r} - (k_2 - k_1) \right],$$

which simplifies to

$$S_1 = \frac{A_1 f(k_1) - [A_2 f(k_2) - (1+r)(k_2 - k_1)]}{2+r}$$
(27)

Now, savings will be determined by the difference between today's output and the output of period 2 net of investment expenditure.





 $CA_1=S_1-I_1$

$$CA_{1} = \frac{A_{1}f(k_{1}) - [A_{2}f(k_{2}) - (1+r)(k_{2}-k_{1})]}{2+r} - (k_{2}-k_{1}).$$

Which simplifies to:

$$CA_{1} = \frac{A_{1}f(k_{1}) - A_{2}f(k_{2}) - (k_{2} - k_{1})}{2 + r}.$$
(28)

Example I: Zero saving zero investment

Suppose
$$A_1 = A_2 = \overline{A}$$
, and $k_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha \overline{A}}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$, this implies:

$$I_1 = k_2 - k_1 = 0.$$

Output is also the same is both periods:

$$\bar{A}f(k_1)=\bar{A}f(k_2).$$

Which from Equation (27) means that:

 $S_1=0.$

Which also means that $CA_1 = 0$.



Example II: Positive saving zero investment

Suppose
$$A_1 > A_2 = \overline{A}$$
, and $k_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha \overline{A}}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$, this implies:

 $I_1 = k_2 - k_1 = 0.$

But since $A_1 > A_2$, output in period 1 is higher than period 2:

 $A_1f(k_1) > \overline{A}f(k_2).$

Which from Equation (27) means that:

$$S_1 = \frac{A_1 f(k_1) - \overline{A} f(k_2)}{2 + r} = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{2 + r} > 0.$$

Which also means that $CA_1 > 0$, as investment is zero in this example. In other words, we're back to the basic model without investment, the current account is procyclical because households smooth consumption.

Example III: Positive saving positive investment

Suppose
$$A_1 > A_2 > \overline{A}$$
, and $k_1 = \left(\frac{\alpha \overline{A}}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$, this implies:

$$I_1 = k_2 - k_1 > 0.$$

$$S_1 = \frac{A_1 f(k_1) - [A_2 f(k_2) - (1 + r)(k_2 - k_1)]}{2 + r}$$

By continuity, it follows that a slight positive change in A_2 will still leave S_1 positive. Yet, we're missing the behavior of S_1 when A_2 changes, for that we need:

$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial A_2} = -\frac{1}{2+r} \left[f(k_2) + A_2 f'(k_2) \frac{dk_2}{dA_2} - (1+r) \frac{dk_2}{dA_2} \right]$$
$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial A_2} = -\frac{1}{2+r} \left[f(k_2) + \frac{dk_2}{dA_2} \underbrace{(A_2 f'(k_2) - (1+r))}_{=0} \right]$$
$$\frac{\partial S_1}{\partial A_2} = -\frac{f(k_2)}{2+r} < 0$$



- When we add investment to the basic model, we can interpret the current account as the *difference between savings and investment*.
- Consistent with data, it might be the case that saving and investment go up in good times, but the investment effect dominates, which leads to current account deficits (counter-cyclical current account).
- \cdot We will see the dynamics of the model more clearly in R!